arXiv:1407.2591v1 [astro-ph.GA] 9 Jul 2014

Are signatures of anti-de-Sitter black hole at the Galactic Center?

Alexander F. Zakharov[1,2,3,4,5*]

[1]*North Carolina Central University, Durham, NC 27707, USA*

[2]*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, 117218, Russia*

[3]*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980, Russia*

[4]*Institute for Computer Aided Design of RAS, 123056, Moscow, Russia*

[5]*National Research Nuclear University (NRNU MEPHI), 115409, Moscow, Russia*

(Dated: July 10, 2014)

Abstract

Using Schwarzschild – de-Sitter (Kottler) metric we derive a simple analytical relation between a shadow size and Λ-term. Current observations of the smallest spot to evaluate shadow size at the Galactic Center do not reach an accuracy comparable with cosmological Λ-term $\sim 10^{-52}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$, however, if in reality we have dark energy instead of a constant Λ-term then dark energy may be a function depending on time and space and it could be approximated with a local constant Λ-term near the Galactic Center and it is important to introduce a procedure to evaluate the Λ-term. We suggest such a procedure based on a black hole shadow evaluation. Surprisingly, current observational estimates of shadows are in agreement with anti-de-Sitter spacetimes corresponding to a negative Λ-term which is about $-0.4 \times 10^{-20}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$. A negative Λ-term has been predicted in the framework of a some class of multidimensional string models.

PACS numbers: 04.80.Cc, 04.20.-q, 04.25.Nx, 04.50.+h, 95.30.Sf, 96.12.Fe

Keywords: black hole physics — galaxies: Nuclei — Galaxy: center — stars: dark energy: individual (Sgr A*): Schwarzschild metric: de-Sitter metric

*Electronic address: zakharov@itep.ru

I. INTRODUCTION

First solutions corresponding to spherical symmetric black holes were found [1, 2] soon after discovery of general relativity. Now the Kottler metric is called usually as the Schwarzschild – de-Sitter one because it consists of the Schwarzschild and the de-Sitter solutions for a suitable choice of parameters and one has the black hole and cosmological (de-Sitter) metrics as corresponding limiting cases. We will not discuss the topological structure of the solution was analyzed in details [3].

Generally speaking, an appearance of the Λ-term (introduced by Einstein [4]) in the geometrical part of the Einstein equations are rather natural and one can find it in old textbook and monographs published even before 1998 (see, for instance, [8]) when it was established the Λ-term presence in the conventional cosmological models using SNIa data [9] (the discovery was confirmed with alternative techniques, see [10] and references therein), however, perhaps the Gamow's story (or the fairy tale) on the Einstein's statement about "the biggest blunder" [5] concerning Λ-term in the gravitational field equations to create a static cosmological model (see, for a discussion [6] and [7]) significantly broke intensive studies of all aspects of the Λ-term presence in the Einstein's gravitational field equations.

If the Λ-term is putting in the stress-energy part of Einstein's field equations it can be treated as an additional component of energy (so-called dark energy introduced in [11]) and in principle the component can be slightly different from constant Λ and may be function of spacetime coordinates. There are many theoretical and observational proposals to investigate dark energy, including investigations of dark energy accretion onto black holes [12].

In spite of the fact that the Schwarzschild – de-Sitter solutions are known for many years, there is still a discussion about observable signatures of the Λ-term in gravitational lensing starting probably since the Islam's claim that a Λ-term presence is observable [13], while Rindler & Ishak criticized this statement [14] (see, papers reflecting different opinions concerning the issue [15] and references therein). The most of these papers have no mistakes but opposite sides use different approaches and there is no agreement in definition of observable quantities and usually it is very hard to evaluate accuracies of suggested approaches and contributions of systematic errors.

In spite of the fact that black hole solutions of Einstein equations are known for almost century there are not too many astrophysical examples where one really need a strong

gravitational field approximation but not small relativistic corrections to a Newtonian gravitational field. One of the most important option to test a gravity in the strong field approximation is analysis of relativistic line shape as it was shown in [16]. Later on, such signatures of the Fe $K\alpha$-line have been found in the active galaxy MCG-6-30-15 [17]. Analyzing the spectral line shape the authors concluded the emission region is so close to the black hole horizon that one has to use Kerr metric approximation to fit observational data [17]. Results of our simulations of iron K_α line formation are given in [18] (where we used our approach [20]), see also [21] for more recent reviews of the subject.

There are two basic observational techniques to investigate a gravitational potential at the Galactic Center, namely, a) monitoring the orbits of bright stars near the Galactic Center to reconstruct a gravitational potential [22] (see also a discussion about an opportunity to evaluate black hole dark matter parameters in [23] and an opportunity to constrain some class of an alternative theory of gravity [24]); b) in mm-band with VLBI-technique measuring a size and a shape of shadows around black hole giving an alternative possibility to evaluate black hole parameters. Doeleman et al. evaluated a size of the smallest spot for the black hole at the Galactic Center with VLBI technique in mm-band [25] (see also constraints done from previous observations [26]). Theoretical studies showed that the size of the smallest spot near a black hole practically coincides with shadow size because the spot is the envelope of the shadow [27–29]. As it was shown [28, 29], measurements of the shadow size around the black hole may help to evaluate parameters of black hole metric [60]. Sizes and shapes of shadows are calculated for different types of black holes and gravitational lensing in strong gravitational field has been analyzed in a number of papers [34].

We derive an analytic expression for the black hole shadow size as a function of the Λ-term. The result shows that there is an Λ-term impact on observational quantities for gravitational lensing because shadow formation is a clear illustration of gravitational focusing.

We conclude that observational data concerning shadow size evaluation for the Galactic Center are consistent with significant negative Λ-terms which play important role in string theory [35] (see also, [36]).

II. BASIC EQUATIONS

There is the Kerr – Newman – de-Sitter solution [37] which a generalization of the Kerr – Newman solution with the Λ-term. Carter separated variables and found a complete set of first integrals for this case [37] similarly to the Kerr – Newman case [38].

The expression for the Kottler (Schwarzschild – de-Sitter) metric in natural units ($G = c = 1$) has the form [2, 37, 39], we

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda r^2\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda r^2\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (1)$$

where M is the mass of the black hole and we use the conventional nomination for the Λ-term.

We will remind the horizon structure for $\Lambda < 0$. Really, we have for the metric (1) (see, for instance [39])

$$r_h = -\left[-\frac{3M}{\Lambda} + \left(\frac{9M^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{1}{\Lambda^3}\right)^{1/2}\right] + \left[-\frac{3M}{\Lambda} - \left(\frac{9M^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{1}{\Lambda^3}\right)^{1/2}\right]. \quad (2)$$

while for $\Lambda > 0$ we have three apparent singularities corresponding to vanishing g_{00} component of the metric tensor

$$r_u = -2\Lambda^{-1/2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\psi\right) \quad (3)$$

$$r_h = 2\Lambda^{-1/2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{3}(\pi + \psi)\right), \quad (4)$$

$$r_c = -2\Lambda^{-1/2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{3}(\pi - \psi)\right), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\psi = \arccos(3M\Lambda^{1/2}), \quad (6)$$

r_h, r_u, r_c are measuring in M units.

Applying the Hamilton–Jacobi method to the problem of geodesics in the Kottler metric, the motion of a test particle in the r-coordinate can be described by following equation (see, for example, [37, 39])

$$r^4(dr/d\lambda)^2 = R(r), \quad (7)$$

where λ is the affine parameter [40, 41] and for the polynomial $R(r)$ we have [37, 39]

$$R(r) = r\left[\frac{1}{3}\Lambda m^2 r^5 - (E^2 - m^2 + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda L^2)r^3 + 2m^2 Mr^2 - L^2 r + 2ML^2\right], \quad (8)$$

Here, the constants m, E and L are associated with the particle, i.e. m is its mass, E is energy at infinity and L is its angular momentum at infinity.

We will consider the motion of photons ($m = 0$). In this case, the expression for the polynomial $R(r)$ takes the form

$$R(r) = r\left[(E^2 + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda L^2)r^3 - L^2 r + 2L^2\right]. \tag{9}$$

Depending on the multiplicities of the roots of the polynomial $R(r)$, we can have three types of photon motion in the r - coordinate [42]. If an observer is located at infinity for $\Lambda < 0$ or at r_o ($r_h < r_o < r_c$), we have:

(1) if the polynomial $R(r)$ has no roots for $r \geq r_h$, a test particle is captured by the black hole;

(2) if $R(r)$ has roots and $(\partial R/\partial r)(r_{max}) \neq 0$ with $r_{max} > r_h$ (r_{max} is the maximal root), a particle is scattered after approaching the black hole;

(3) if $R(r)$ has a root and $R(r_{max}) = (\partial R/\partial r)(r_{max}) = 0$, the particle now takes an infinite proper time to approach the surface $r = const$.

If we are considering a photon ($\mu = 0$), its motion in the r-coordinate depends on the root multiplicity of the polynomial $\hat{R}(\hat{r})$

$$\hat{R}(\hat{r}) = R(r)/(M^4E^2) = \hat{r}\left[(1 + \frac{1}{3}\hat{\Lambda}\xi^2) - \xi^2\hat{r}^2 + 2\xi^2\right], \tag{10}$$

where $\hat{r} = r/M, \xi = L/(ME)$ and $\hat{\Lambda} = \Lambda M^2$. Below we do not write $\wedge$ symbol for these quantities.

III. DERIVATION OF SHADOW SIZE AS A COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT

Let us consider the problem of the capture cross section of a photon by a black hole. It is clear that the critical value of the impact parameter for a photon to be captured by a black hole depends on the root multiplicity of the polynomial $R(r)$. This requirement is equivalent to the vanishing discriminant condition [44]. Earlier, to find the critical value of impact parameter for Schwarzschild and Reisner – Nordström metrics the condition has been used for corresponding cubic and quartic equations [45–47]. In particular, it was shown that for these cases the vanishing discriminant condition approach is more powerful in comparison

with the procedure excluding r_{max} from the following system

$$R(r_{max}) = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}(r_{max}) = 0, \tag{12}$$

as it was done, for example, by Chandrasekhar [33] (and earlier by Darwin [43]) to solve similar problems, because r_{max} is automatically excluded in the condition for vanishing discriminant.

Introducing the notation $\alpha = \dfrac{\xi^2}{1 + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\xi^2}$, we obtain

$$f(r) = R(r)/(1 + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\xi^2) = r^3 - \alpha r^2 + 2\alpha. \tag{13}$$

We remind the discriminant definition for cubic equation. If we consider an reduced polynomial $g(x)$ with the third degree

$$g(x) = x^3 + ax + b, \tag{14}$$

then the discriminant is [44]

$$D = -4a^3 - 27b^2, \tag{15}$$

Therefore, for the polynomial (13) we have the following condition for the multiple roots

$$D = 4\alpha^3 - 108\alpha^2, \tag{16}$$

or $\alpha = 27$, so

$$\xi_{\rm cr}^2 = \frac{27}{1 - 9\Lambda}. \tag{17}$$

To find a radius of photon unstable orbit we will find the multiple root $r_{\rm cr}$ of the polynomial (13) substituting $\xi_{\rm cr}$ in the relation. It is easy to see that $r_{\rm cr} = 3$. Similar results can be obtained with analysis of corresponding potentials [39] as it was described earlier. For $\Lambda = 0$ one obtains the well-known result for Schwarzschild metric [40, 41, 48]. However, the vanishing discriminant condition allows immediately eliminate the radial coordinate in equations for critical values of the parameters.

As it was explained in [29] this leads to the formation of shadows described by the critical value of ξ_{cr} or, in other words, in the spherically symmetric case, shadows are circles with radii $\xi_{\rm cr}$. Therefore, by measuring the shadow size, one could evaluate the cosmological constant in black hole in units M^{-2}.

IV. CONSEQUENCES

A. Observational constraints on the Λ-term at the Galactic Center

As one can see from Eq. (17) shadows disappear for $\Lambda > 1/9$, and there exist for $\Lambda < 1/9$ and for positive Λ its presence decrease shadow dimension while for negative Λ we have an opposite tendency (see, Fig. 1).



FIG. 1: Shadow (mirage) radius (solid line) in M units as a function of dimensionless Λ. The critical value $\Lambda = 1/9$ is shown with the dashed vertical line.

If we adopt the distance toward the Galactic Center $d_* = 8.3(\pm 0.4)$ kpc (or $d_* = 8.35(\pm 0.15)$ kpc [49]) and mass of the black hole $M_{BH} = 4.3(\pm 0.4) \times 10^6 M_\odot$ [50, 51] (a significant part of black hole mass uncertainty is connected with a distance determination uncertainty [51]), then we have the angle 10.45 μas for the corresponding Schwarzschild radius $R_g = 2.95 * \dfrac{M_{BH}}{M_\odot} * 10^5$ cm roughly with 10% uncertainty of black hole mass and distance estimations, so a shadow size for the Schwarzschild black hole is around 53 μas.

A couple of years ago Doeleman et al. [25] claimed that intrinsic diameter of Sgr A^* is 37^{+16}_{-10} μas at the 3 σ confidence level. If we believe in GR and the central object is a black hole, then we have to conclude that a shadow is practically coincides with the intrinsic diameter, so in spite of the fact that a Schwarzschild black hole is marginally consistent with observations, a Schwarzschild – anti-de-Sitter black hole provides much better fit of a shadow

size. Later on, an accuracy of intrinsic size measurements was significantly improved, so Fish et al. [52] gave $41.3^{+5.4}_{-4.3}$ μas (at 3 σ level) on day 95, $44.4^{+3.0}_{-3.0}$ μas on day 96 and $42.6^{+3.1}_{-2.9}$ μas on day 97. If we adopt a shadow size as 43 μas, we can conclude that the Λ-term is about $-0.4 \times 10^{-20} m^{-2}$, so we have signatures of Schwarzschild – anti-de-Sitter black hole.

The absolute value the Λ-term is many orders of magnitude higher than the mean value expected from cosmological data, however, an enormous progress of observational facilities gives a hope that a precision of the estimates of dark energy component from this kind of data will be significantly approved very soon, moreover, for black holes with higher masses the Λ estimate can be more accurate. So, since $\hat{\Lambda}$ is evaluating in M^{-2} units one can significantly improve an accuracy of Λ estimates increasing a black hole mass. For instance, M_{M87} is higher M_{SgrA*} more than in 10^3 therefore Λ estimates may be at least in 10^6 times better with the same observational facilities.

The black hole in the elliptical galaxy M87 looks also perspective to evaluate shadow size [53] (probably even its shape in the future to estimate a black hole spin) because the distance toward the galaxy is 16 ± 0.6 Mpc [54], black hole mass is $M_{M87} = (6.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^9 M_\odot$ [55], so that an angle $(7.3 \pm 0.5) \mu as$ corresponds to the Schwarzschild radius [53], so the angle is comparable with the corresponding value considered earlier for our Galactic Center case. Recently, it was reported that smallest shadow size is $5.5 \pm 0.4 R_{SCH}$ with 1 σ errors (where $R_{SCH} = 2GM_{M87}/c^2$) [53], so that at the moment the shadow size is consistent with the Schwarzschild metric for the object.

An existence of cosmological black holes with masses around $10^{13} - 10^{17} M_\odot$ has been discussed [56]. As it was explained earlier in this case an analysis of shadows could give a much better estimates of Λ-term around such objects with the same angular accuracy of the shadow evaluation.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Solar system effects caused by Λ-term analyzed in details [57] and the most sensitive effect is perihelion shift found in [58] and the Pioneer anomaly if exists [59]. However, as the Pioneer anomaly example shows it is not a simple problem to evaluate systematic errors and non-gravity effects in such studies of gravitational field in Solar system.

Based on observations [25, 52] one can say that for the Schwarzschild black hole model

we have tensions between evaluations of black hole mass done with observations of bright star orbits near the Galactic Center and the evaluated shadow size. To reduce tensions between estimates of the black hole mass and the intrinsic size measurements, one can use the Schwarzschild – anti-de-Sitter metric with a significant negative Λ-term around $-0.4 \times 10^{-20} m^{-2}$. However, in spite of the signatures of the negative Λ-term, one can not claim that anti-de-Sitter black hole has been discovered in the Galactic Center because the issue needs further observational studies and confirmations.

Recent estimates of the smallest structure in the M87 published in paper [53] do not need an introduction of Λ -term (positive or negative) to fit observational data because sizes of the smallest spot near the black hole at the object are still consistent with the shadow size evaluated for the Schwarzschild metric.

There is a clear impact of Λ-term on gravitational lensing because a shadow formation is gravitational lensing phenomenon in a strong gravitational field and shadow dimensions are observable in spite of the fact that at the moment an accuracy of such measurements is not good enough to evaluate a Λ-term comparable with the cosmological constant.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks D. Borka, V. Borka Jovanović, F. De Paolis, G. Ingrosso, P. Jovanović, S. M. Kopeikin, A. A. Nucita, B. Vlahovic for useful discussions. The author acknowledges also A. Broderick and C. Lämmerzahl for conversations at GR-20/Amaldi-10 in Warsaw.

The work was supported in part by the NSF (HRD-0833184) and NASA (NNX09AV07A) at NASA CADRE and NSF CREST (NCCU, Durham, NC, USA) and RFBR 14-02-00754a at ICAD of RAS (Moscow).

---

[1] K. Schwarzschild, Sitzunsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys.) 189, (1916); see, English translation in arxiv.org/physics/9905030v1.

[2] F. Kottler, Annalen der Physik, **361**, 401 (1918).

[3] G. W. Gibbons, S.W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2738 (1977);
R. Bousso, arxive:hep-ph/0205177v2.

[4] A. Einstein, Sitzungsberichte der Kuniglich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin), 142 (1917).

[5] G. Gamow, My World Line: An Informal Biography, (New York, Viking Press, 1970).

[6] M. Livio, Brilliant Blunders: From Darwin to Einstein - Colossal Mistakes by Great Scientists That Changed Our Understanding of Life and the Universe (New York: Simon & Schuster, 2013).

[7] G. Weinstein, arxiv.org/1310.1033v1[physics.hist-ph].

[8] R.C. Tolman, Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1934).

[9] A.G. Riess et al., Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998);
B. P. Schmidt et al. Astrophys. J. **507**, 46 (1998);
S. Perlmutter et al., Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999); A.G. Riess et al., Astron. J. **560**, 49 (2001).

[10] M. Tegmark et al., Phys. Rev. D **69**, 103501 (2004);
C. L. Bennett et.al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. **208**, 20B (2013);
G.F. Hinshaw et.al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. **208**, 19H (2013);
O. Lahav, A. R. Liddle, arXiv:1401.1389 [astro-ph.CO];
Planck Collaboration: P. A. R. Ade et al., arxiv.org/1303.5076v3[astro-CO];
Planck Collaboration: P. A. R. Ade et al., Astron. & Astrophys., **566**, A54 (2014);
A.G. Kim, et al. Astropart. Phys., http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.astropartphys.2014.05.007 (2014).

[11] M.S. Turner, In *The Third Stromlo Symposium: The Galactic Halo*, eds. B.K. Gibson, T.S. Axelrod & M.E. Putman, ASP Conference Series **165**, p. 431 (1999).

[12] E. O. Babichev, V. I. Dokuchaev, Yu. N. Eroshenko, Physics - Uspekhi **56**, 1155 (2013).

[13] J. N. Islam, Phys. Lett. A **97**, 239 (1983).

[14] W. Rindler, M. Ishak, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 043006 (2007).

[15] E. Wright, arxiv:astro-ph/9805292v1;
M. Sereno, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 043004 (2008);
A. Bhadra, S. Biswas and K. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 063003 (2010);
T. Schucker, Gen. Rel. Grav. **41**, 1595, (2009);
R. Kantowski, B. Chen and X. Dai, Astrophys. J. **718**, 913 (2010);
M. Park, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 023014 (2008);

H. Arakida and M. Kasai, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 023006 (2012);

I. B. Khriplovich and A. A. Pomeransky, Int. J. of Mod. Phys. D **17**, 2255 (2008);

M. Ishak, W. Rindler, J. Dossett, J. Moldenhauer and C. Allison, Mon. Not. R. Astro. Soc. **388**, 1279, (2008);

M. Ishak, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 103006 (2008);

M. Sereno, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 083003 (2008);

E. Hackmann and C. Lämmerzahl, Phys. Rev. D **78** 024035 (2008);

E. Hackmann and C. Lämmerzahl, Phys. Rev. Letters **100**, 171101 (2008);

M. Sereno, Phys. Rev. Letters **102**, 021301 (2009);

F. Simpson, J. A. Peacock and A. F. Heavens, Mon. Not. R. Astro. Soc. **402**, 2009, (2010);

M. Ishak, W. Rindler and J. Dossett, Mon. Not. R. Astro. Soc. **403**, 2152, (2010);

M. Ishak and W. Rindler, Gen. Rel. Grav. **42**, 2247, (2010);

T. Biressa and J. A. de Freitas Pacheco, Gen. Rel. Grav. **43**, 2649, (2011);

D. Lebedev, K. Lake, arXiv:1308.4931v1[gr-qc].

[16] A. C. Fabian, M.J. Rees, L. Stella, N. E. White, Month. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **238**, 729 (1989);

L. Stella, Nature, **344**, 747 (1990);

A. Laor, Astrophys. J. **376**, 90 (1991);

G. Matt, G. C. Perola, L. Stella, Astron. & Astrophys., **267**, 643 (1993).

[17] Y. Tanaka, K. Nandra, A. C. Fabian et al. Nature **375**, 659 (1995).

[18] A.F. Zakharov, S.V. Repin, Astron. Rep., **43**, 705 (1999);

A.F. Zakharov, S.V. Repin, Astron. Rep., **46**, 360 (2002);

A.F. Zakharov, S.V. Repin, Astron. & Astrophys., **406**, 7 (2003);

A.F. Zakharov, S.V. Repin, Astron. Rep., **47**, 733 (2003);

A.F. Zakharov, S.V. Repin, Nuovo Cimento, **118B**, 1193 (2003);

A.F. Zakharov, N.S. Kardashev, V. N. Lukash, S.V. Repin, Month. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **342**, 1325 (2003);

[19] A.F. Zakharov, Z. Ma, Y. Bao, New Astronomy, **9**, 663 (2004).

A.F. Zakharov and S.V. Repin, Advances in Space Res. **34**, 2544 (2004);

A.F. Zakharov and S.V. Repin, Mem. S. A. It. della Supplementi, **7**, 60 (2005);

A.F. Zakharov and S.V. Repin, New Astron., **11**, 405 (2006);

A.F. Zakharov, Phys. of Atom. Nucl., **70**, 159 (2007).

[20] A.F. Zakharov, 1994, Month. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **269**, 283 (1994);
A.F. Zakharov, in *Proceedings of 17th Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics*, Ann. NY Academy of Sciences, **759**, 550 (1995).

[21] A.C. Fabian, R.R. Ross, Space Sci. Rev. **157**, 167 (2010).
P. Jovanović, New Astron. Rev., **56**, 37 (2012).

[22] A. M. Ghez, M. Morris, E.E. Becklin, A. Tanner, T. Kremenek, Nature **407**, 349 (2000);
G. F. Rubilar and A. Eckart, Astron. Astrophys. **374**, 95 (2001);
R. Schödel, T. Ott, R. Genzel, et al., Nature **419**, 694 (2002);
A.M. Ghez et al., 2003, Astrophys. J. Lett. **586**, L127 (2003);
A.M. Ghez et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. **601**, L159 (2004);
A.M. Ghez et al., Astrophys. J. **620**, 744 (2005);
R. Genzel, R. Schödel, T. Ott et al., Nature **425**, 934 (2003);
N. N. Weinberg, M. Milosavljević, and A. M. Ghez, Astrophys. J. **622**, 878 (2005);
G. S. Adkins and J. McDonnell, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 082001 (2007);
S. Gillessen, F. Eisenhauer, S. Trippe, T. Alexander, R. Genzel, F. Martins, and T. Ott, Astrophys. J. **692**, 1075 (2009);
S. Gillessen, R. Genzel, T. K. Fritz et al., Nature **481**, 51 (2012);
L. Meyer, A. M. Ghez, R. Schödel, et al., Science **338**, 84 (2012);
M. R. Morris, L. Meyer, A. M. Ghez, Research Astron. Astrophys. **12**, 995 (2012).

[23] A. F. Zakharov, A. A. Nucita, F. De Paolis, and G. Ingrosso, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 062001 (2007);
A.F. Zakharov, S. Capozziello, F. De Paolis, G. Ingrosso, A.A. Nucita, Space Sci. Rev. **48**, 301 (2009).

[24] D. Borka, P. Jovanovic, V. Borka Jovanovic and A. F. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 124004 (2012);
D. Borka, P. Jovanović, V. Borka Jovanović and A.F. Zakharov, J. Cosm. and Astropart. Phys. **11**, 050 (2013).

[25] S.S. Doeleman et al., Nature, **455**, 78 (2008).

[26] Z. Q. Shen et al., Nature, **438**, 62 (2005).

[27] H. Falcke, F. Melia, E. Agol, Astrophys. J. **528**, L13 (2000);
F. Melia, & H. Falcke, Annual Rev. Astron. & Astrophys., **39**, 309 (2001).

[28] A.F. Zakharov, A.A. Nucita, F. De Paolis, G. Ingrosso, New Astronomy, **10**, 479 (2005);

A.F. Zakharov, A.A. Nucita, F. De Paolis, G. Ingrosso, In: *Dark matter in astro- and particle physics. Proc. the International Conference DARK 2004*, ed. H.-V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus, R. Arnowitt, p. 77 (Berlin: Springer, 2005);
K. Hioki, K.I. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 024042 (2009).

[29] A.F. Zakharov, A.A. Nucita, F. De Paolis, G. Ingrosso, Astron. & Astrophys. **10**, 795 (2005);
A.F. Zakharov, A.A. Nucita, F. De Paolis, G. Ingrosso, New Astron. Rev., **56**, 64 (2012).

[30] C.T. Cunningham, J. M. Bardeen, Astrophys. J. **183**, 237 (1973).

[31] J-P. Luminet, Astron. & Astrophys. J. **75**, 228 (1979);
J-P. Luminet, *Black Holes*, (Cambridge University Press, 1992).

[32] J. M. Bardeen, in *Black Holes, Les Astres Occlus*, ed. by C. de Witt, B.S. de Witt, p. 216 (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1973).

[33] S. Chandrasekhar, *Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1983).

[34] K. S. Virbhadra, G. F. R. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 103004 (2002);
E. F. Eiroa, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 043002 (2006);
A. Lobanov and J. A. Zensus, in *Exploring the Cosmic Frontier: Astrophysical Instruments for the 21st Century*, ESO Astrophysics Symposia, European Southern Observatory series, ed. A. P. Lobanov, J. A. Zensus, C. Cesarsky and Ph. J. Diamond, p. 147, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin and Heidelberg, 2007): arxiv.org/astro-ph/0606143;
S.-M. Wu and T.-G. Wang, Month. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **378**, 841 (2007);
R. Takahashi, Month. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **382**, 567 (2007);
K. S. Virbhadra, and C. R. Keeton, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 124014 (2008);
K. Hioki, and U. Miyamoto, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 044007, (2008);
C. Bambi, and K. Freese, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 043002 (2009);
V. Perlick, arxiv.org/1010.3416v1[gr-qc];
V. I. Denisov and V. A. Sokolov, J. Experim. and Theor. Phys., **113**, 926 (2011);
E. F. Eiroa and C. M. Sendra, Class. Quantum Grav. **28**, 085008 (2011);
F. Tamburini and B. Thidé, arxiv.org/1109.0140v1[gr-qc] ;
L. Amarilla, and E. F. Eiroa, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 064019 (2012);
Z. Stuchlik and M. Kološ, J. Cosm. Astropart. Phys. **10** 008 (2012);
S. Hod, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 024037 (2013).
E. F. Eiroa and C. M. Sendra, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 083009 (2012);

L. Amarilla and E. F. Eiroa, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 044057 (2013);

C. Ding, C. Liu, Y. Xiao, L. Jiang, R.-G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 104007 (2013);

E.F. Eiroa, C.M. Sendra, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 103007 (2013);

F. Atamurotov, A. Abdujabbarov, B. Ahmedov, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 064004 (2013);

S.-W. Wei and Y.-X. Liu, J. Cosm. Astropart. Phys. **11**, 063 (2013);

Z. Stuchlík, A. Kotrlova, and G. Török, Astron. Astrophys. **552**, A10 (2013);

J. P. De Andrea, K. M. Alexander, arxiv.org/1402.5630v2[gr-qc];

C. Lämmerzahl, J. Müller, Gen. Rel. Grav. **46**, 1 (2014);

A. Grenzebach, V. Perlick, and C. Lämmerzahl, arxiv.org/1403.5234v1[gr-qc].

[35] G. T. Horowitz, R.C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 026005 (1998);

E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 6 (1998);

V. Balasubramanian, P. Kraus, Commun. Math. Phys. **208**, 413 (1999);

O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, Y. Oz, Phys. Rep. **323** 183 (2000);

J. M. Cline, J. Descheneau, M. Giovannini and J. Vinet, J. High Energy Phys. **06**, 048 (2003).

[36] L. Susskind, J. Lindesay, An Introduction to Black Holes, Information and the String Theory Revolution: The Holographic Universe, World Scientific, 2005.

[37] B. Carter, in *Black Holes, Les Astres Occlus*, ed. by C. de Witt, B.S. de Witt, p. 57 (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1973).

[38] B. Carter, Phys. Rev. **174**, 1559 (1968).

[39] Z. Stuchlik, Bull. Astron. Inst. Czechoslov. **34**, 129 (1983).

[40] C. Misner, K. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francesco, 1973).

[41] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, (The Chicago University Press, 1984).

[42] A.F. Zakharov, Sov. Phys. JETP **64**, 1 (1986).

[43] C. G. Darwin, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A **249**, 180 (1958);

C. G. Darwin, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A **263**, 39 (1961).

[44] A.I. Kostrikin, *Introduction to Algebra*, (Springer, 1982).

[45] A.F. Zakharov, Sov. Astron. **32**, 456 (1988).

[46] A.F. Zakharov, Sov. Astron. **35**, 147 (1991).

[47] A.F. Zakharov, Class. Quant. Grav. **11**, 1027 (1994).

[48] A. P. Lightman, W.H. Press, R.H. Price, S.A. Teukolsky, *Problem Book in Relativity and*

Gravitation, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1975).

[49] M. J. Reid, K. M. Menten, A. Brunthaler et al., Astrophys. J. **783**, 130 (2014).

[50] A. M. Ghez, S. Salim, N. N. Weinberg, J. R. Lu, T. Do, J. K. Dunn, K. Matthews, M. R. Morris, S. Yelda, E. E. Becklin, T. Kremenek, M. Milosavljević, and J. Naiman, Astrophys. J. **689**, 1044 (2008);

S. Gillessen, F. Eisenhauer, T. K. Fritz, H. Bartko, K. Dodds-Eden, O. Pfuhl, T. Ott, and R. Genzel, Astrophys. J. **707**, L114 (2009).

[51] H. Falcke, and S.B. Markoff, Class. and Quant. Grav. **30**, 244003 (2013).

[52] V. L. Fish et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. **727**, L36 (2011).

[53] S. S. Doeleman et al., Science **338**, 355 (2012).

[54] J. Blakeslee et al., Astrophys. J. **694**, 556 (2009).

[55] K. Gebhardt et al., Astrophys. J. **729**, 119 (2011).

[56] C. Stornaiolo, Gen. Rel. Grav. **34**, 2089 (2002);

M. Serpico, R. DAbrusco, G. Longo, C. Stornaiolo, Gen. Rel. Grav. **39**, 1551 (2007).

[57] V. Kagramanova, J. Kunz, C. Lämmerzahl, Phys. Lett. B **634**, 465 (2006);

Ph. Jetzer and M. Sereno, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 044015 (2006);

M. Sereno and Ph. Jetzer, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 063004 (2006).

[58] A.W. Kerr, J.C. Hauck, B. Mashhoon, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, 2727 (2003).

[59] V. T. Toth and S. G. Turyshev, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 043011 (2009);

S. G. Turyshev, V. T. Toth, J. Ellis, and C. B. Markwardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 081103 (2011);

S. G. Turyshev, V. T. Toth, G. Kinsella et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 241101 (2012).

[60] One of the first calculations of shapes of orbits visible by a distant observer have been done in [30]. An apparent shape of Kerr black hole was discussed in [32] (see also a very similar picture in monograph [33]). Later on, the apparent shapes of black holes are called shadows [27].